UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS O 4 1 1 2 0 GAO CIVIL ACTION NO.

ROBERT O'TOOLE, MAGISTE	WIE JUDGE	ben.
Plaintiff,	'	~1011
V.)	RECEIPT #
)	AMOUNT \$1.50
THE STANDARD INSURANCE	ń.	SUMMONS ISSUED. 465
	1	LOCAL RULE 4.1
Defendant.)	WAIVER FORM
Dolonoant.	,	MCF ISSUED
		BY DPTY, OLK, 70 W
	COMPLAINT	DATE 4564

NOW COMES THE Plaintiff, by and through his attorney, Michael James Kelley, and makes this complaint against the Defendant:

I. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This action arises under the EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY

 ACT OF 1974 [29 USC §§1001 ET SEQ.] (ERISA) and more particularly

 §502(a)(1)(B) and §502(c) of said Act [29 USC §1132(a)(1)(B), §1132(c)]. The

 court has jurisdiction of this matter under 29 USC §1132(e).
- 2. The Plaintiff is currently residing at 23 Reedsdale Road, Milton, Commonwealth of Massachusetts and is a qualified participant in a Long Term Disability (LTD) plan within the meaning of 29 USC §1002(7) of ERISA.

- 3. The Defendant, the Standard Insurance, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principle place of business at Portland, Oregon.
- 4. The Defendant, The Standard Insurance, hereinafter cited as the LTD Plan or Plan, is a qualified employees' Long Term Disability Plan under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended and an employee benefit plan within the meaning of 29 USC §1002(2)(A) and §1002(35).
- 5. The Plaintiff was a qualified employee of New England of Law, hereinafter cited as Employer, and remained continuously employed under the terms of the LTD Plan.
- 6. Plaintiff became 100% permanently disabled due to severe medical impairments and applied for long term disability benefits with the Defendant.
- 7. The decision by the Defendant, issued on February 20, 2004, was their final decision denying benefits and the Plaintiff thereby exhausted all internal appeals process,
- The Plaintiff was not eligible for review of her claim by the Federal Court until he 8. had exhausted all internal appeals.

- 9. Benefits due are vested under the LTD and the Plaintiff has complied with all conditions in order to receive such disability benefits.
- 10. The Defendant failed to consider evidence offered by Plaintiff establishing total and permanent disability.
- 11. The Defendant failed to provide the Plaintiff with the opportunity for a full and fair review of his claim.
- 12. The above-mentioned decision of the Defendant denying the Plaintiff benefits due under the terms of the long term disability plan was arbitrary, capricious, not made in good faith, unsupported by substantial evidence, erroneous as a matter of law, and in violation of ERISA.
- 13. As the direct and proximate result of the actions of the defendant, The Standard Benefit Administrators, Plaintiff has been caused to incur attorneys' fees in an amount currently not known to the Plaintiff.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of the above Defendant's actions, the Plaintiff has lost benefits in an amount not known in full by the Plaintiff but upon belief and information such loss approximates the amount of benefits due under the terms of the LTD Plan for each month since January 17, 2003 and the amount the Plaintiff will continue to sustain each month until the benefits are paid in full.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays judgment against the Defendants as follows:

An order for the Defendant's LTD Plan to pay to the Plaintiff all Long
 Term Disability benefits accrued and unpaid to the date of this judgment;

An order for the Defendants to designate the Plaintiff as an eligible
participant under the Plan and to pay the Plaintiff the contracted monthly
LTD benefits from the date of this judgment henceforth;

3. Imposition of such other penalties against the Defendants as deemed appropriate; and

4. The Plaintiff is awarded attorneys' fees and costs of this action and such other relief as deemed appropriate.

Date: July 28, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiff

By his Attorney

Michael James Kelley, Esq 167 Milk Street, Suite 428

Boston, MA 02109

617/ 523-1450

BBO# 567329

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

TITLE	OF CASE ((NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EAC	H SIDE ONLY) O'Toole V.	The Standard Insurance
			ED UPON THE NUMBERED NATU	IRE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVE.
ÇOVE	ER SHEET.	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).		
	1.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLE	ESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.	_
y /	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 54 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*,	0, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.	*Also complete AO 120 or AO 21 for patent, tradomarko conveight least
_	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 21 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 35 380, 385, 450, 891.	.5, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,	1200
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 53 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 87		
_	v.	150, 152, 153.	04	
HAS	BEEN FILGO 	OIN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDI	ICATE THE TITLE AND NUMBER	IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CAS OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COUR
HAS		CTION BETWEEN THE SAME PAR	RTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME	CLAIM EVERBEEN FILED IN THIS
COO	NI I		YES	(NO)
				·····
DOE	S THE COM	PLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION ST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)	THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF A	AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
FUDI		017 (022 20 200 32 100)	YES	6 0
IF SC), IS THE U.	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OF		RTY?
	,		YES	NO
				DE OFFUNEE MOCES PURSUANT TO TH
	((S CASE R) SC §22847	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE	TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COU	RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TH
20 Q	30 gzz041		YES	NO
COM	MONWEAL	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXC TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOV ? + (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	LUDING COVERNMENTAL AGEN	ICIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN TH
	,		YES	(NO)
		IE VEC IN MINICU DRACION D	O_ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNME	NTAL PARTIES RESIDE?
	A		CENTRAL DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION
		EASTERN DIVISION		
	В.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES	THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAIN RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETT	TIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDIN IS RESIDE?
		EASTERN DIVISION	CENTRAL DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION
PLEAS	E TYPE OR	PRINT)		
	E TYPË OR NEY'S NAME	Michael James		
TOR		Michael James 167 Milk St. S	Kelley Sulte 428, Boston, M	A 02109

__TMOUNT___

Case 1:04-cv-11/20-REK Document 1 Filed 08/05/2004 Page 6 of 0

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVENSE OF THE FORM.)

of the Clerk of Court for the	e purpose of initiating the	e civil docket sheet.	(ŚEE IN	STRUCTIONS ON THE F	REVERSE OF THE FORM	l.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Robert O'Toole			The Standar	rd Insurance	:			
						- (1)		
/la.\		Norfolk						
TO SECULATION OF THE SECOND SECULATION OF THE SECOND SECON				F FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT _ ANTI C. DI AINITIEE CAC				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME ADDRESS, AND THE PHONE NUMBER) Michael James Kelley, Esq. 167 Milk Street, Suite 428 Boston, MA 02109 617/523-1450				ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (D) ACE AND	X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CI	TIZENCUID OF DOI	MOIDAL DADTIES	PLACE AN "X" IN ONE GOX FOR PLAINTIFF		
II. DASIS OF JUNISDI	ICTION (FLAGE AN	X IN DINE BOX ONCA)		or Diversity Cases Only)	ŗ	(TACE AN "X IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF (ND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDAN!)		
□ 1 U.S. Government	Federal Question		Cii	=	TF DEF	PTF DEF or Principal Place □ 4 □ 4		
Plaintiff	(U,S, Governme		OII	azeri or i ilia otale 23		s In This State		
E) 2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizer	ship of Parties	Cif	tizen of Another State 🗆		and Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 s In Another State		
	in Item (II)			tizen or Subject of a □ Foreign Country	3 □3 Foreign Natio	on 🗆 8 🗆 8		
IV. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN	"X" /N C	NE BOX ONLY)	,	Appeal to District		
			Reinstate Reopens			Júdge from ict □ 7 Magistrate		
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ON	E BOX ONLY)						
CONTRACT	TĊ	RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Mazine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Hecovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Logns (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders Sulls □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Fractical Liability ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Concemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO Denial of L	DO NOT CITÉ JURISDIC:	IONAL STATUTES UNLES	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	☐ 610 Agnoulture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Serzure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Equor Laws ☐ 640 H.B. & Bruck ☐ 650 Arthre Hegs. ☐ 660 Occupational Sarety/Health ☐ 690 Other ☐ Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt, Reporting ※ Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Higation ☐ 791 Empl. Rel. Ibc. Security Act ☐ CAND WRITE BRIEF STATEME ☐ TY) ☐ ERLISA (29 USC 8		400 State Reapportlonment 410 Anthres 430 Banks and Ranking 450 Currimerca/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketee Intrigenced and Corrupt Organizations () 810 Selective Service 650 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 675 Customer Challenge 12 USO 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 692 Economic Stabulzation Act 693 Environmental Mutres 694 Energy Allocation Act 695 Preedom of Information Act 690 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 690 Other Statutory Actions		
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT: UNDER ER.C.P. 23		DN	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES o	only if demanded in complaint:			
VIII.RELATED CASE(S IF ANY	Տ) (See instructions): _Ա	IDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	ATTOPH	Y OF RECORD				
7/28/04			~	J. Blle	1			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			<i>-</i>	/ /				

_____ APPLYING IFP._____ JUDGE_

_ MAG. JUDGE